2024 Q1 SENSOR REPORT COMMERCE CITY NORTH DENVER COMMUNITY AIR MONITORING NETWORK COMMERCE CITY, COLORADO

Prepared For:

Suncor Energy (U.S.A.) Inc. 5801 Brighton Boulevard Commerce City, CO 80022

Prepared By:

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC

990 W 43rd Avenue Denver, CO 80211

Document Number: **085AA-022561-RT-54** Report Period: **January 1, 2024 – March 31, 2024** Submittal Date: **September 17, 2024**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

Executive Summary

In response to feedback received by Suncor Energy (U.S.A.) Inc. (Suncor) through community engagement conducted in the fall of 2020, Suncor voluntarily committed to developing a continuous, near real-time air monitoring program to gain insight into air quality for neighborhoods in the vicinity of the Suncor refinery in Commerce City, Colorado. Montrose Environmental Group - Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) was contracted by Suncor to deploy, operate, and maintain the network in the Commerce City and North Denver (CCND) neighborhoods. Air monitoring was accomplished through three separate technical approaches: (1) continuous, near real-time monitoring for the following analytes^{[1](#page-3-0)}: carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) , nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) , particulate matter $(PM_{2.5})$, and total volatile organic compounds (VOCs); (2) periodic collection and laboratory analysis for the presence of specific VOCs from 6-liter evacuated stainless steel ("Summa") canisters; and (3) periodic real-time air monitoring throughout neighborhoods using a mobile monitoring van to detect the presence of specific VOCs. This report details approach number one, continuous near real-time air monitoring and a screening health risk analysis. Periodic collection and analysis of Summa canister air samples and mobile monitoring van data are presented in separate reports.

Continuous air monitoring sensors were operating at ten locations across the CCND neighborhoods. The second quarter of 2023 air monitoring preliminary data was made available in near real-time at [ccnd-air.com](https://www.ccnd-air.com/) from January 1 – March 31, 2024, and final data is presented in this report. The sensors used in this program integrate different technologies including a photoionization detector for VOCs; an electrochemical sensor for CO, $NO₂$, H₂S, and SO₂; laser scattering for $PM_{2.5}$; and a sonic anemometer for wind speed and direction. Refer to Section 5.0 "Program Changes" for an updated list of electrochemical sensors. All sensor monitoring was conducted in accordance with the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) available at [ccnd](https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/)[air.com/documents.](https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/)

Health scientists from CTEH, LLC (CTEH[®]) (a subsidiary company of Montrose Environmental Group) evaluated the air monitoring data and compared them to air quality standards, healthbased reference values, and previously published regional data to determine if the measured air quality may have the potential for adverse effects on community health.

The results of this assessment indicate the following:

 \overline{a}

- The monitored analyte levels at all locations were below their respective acute healthbased reference levels, if available, or within the range of previously published regional data provided by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Air Pollution Control Division (APCD).
	- o It should be noted that the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) comparisons are used in the CCND Air Monitoring program for reference use only

 $^{\text{1}}$ An "analyte" is a material that a measuring device is designed to detect and measure. It may be a chemical gas, an airborne particle, or other type of material.

and may not be used to determine air quality compliance. This is because NAAQS compliance must be determined through the use of regulatory-certified instrumentation and required calculation methodology further discussed in Section 2.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In response to feedback received by Suncor Energy (U.S.A.) Inc. (Suncor) through community engagement conducted in the fall of 2020, Suncor voluntarily committed to developing a continuous, near real-time air monitoring program to gain insight into air quality for neighborhoods in the vicinity of the Suncor refinery in Commerce City, Colorado. Montrose Environmental Group-Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) was contracted by Suncor to deploy, operate, and maintain the network in the Commerce City and North Denver (CCND) neighborhoods. Air monitoring was accomplished through three separate technical approaches: (1) continuous, near real-time monitoring for the following analytes: carbon monoxide (CO) , sulfur dioxide $(SO₂)$, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), particulate matter (PM₂₅), and total volatile organic compounds (VOCs); (2) periodic collection and laboratory analysis for the presence of specific During the month of August, changes were made to remove NO from the program. For more information, please reference Section 5.0 "Program Changes". VOCs from Summa canisters; and (3) periodic real-time air monitoring throughout neighborhoods using a mobile monitoring van to detect presence of specific VOCs. An "analyte" is a material that a measuring device is designed to detect and measure. It may be a chemical gas, an airborne particle, or other type of material. This report details approach number one, the continuous, near real-time monitoring for the analytes listed. The Summa canister sampling and mobile monitoring van data are presented in separate reports. Air monitoring, sampling, and analysis from approaches (1) and (2) were conducted in accordance with the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) that can be found online at [https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/.](https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/)

1.1 Air Monitoring Site Description

Continuous air monitoring sensors were installed at eight locations across CCND neighborhoods within a three-mile radius of refinery operations in July 2021 (CM1-CM8). Two additional monitoring sensors were installed in December 2021 (CM9) and March 2022 (CM10). The monitor locations are shown in Figure 1-1 and described in Table 1-1; and were selected based on the following criteria:

- Historical wind pattern data
- Proximity to the refinery and non-refinery sources
- Existing infrastructure, as well as site access and safety
- Community feedback

FIGURE 1-1 MAP OF CCND MONITOR LOCATIONS

TABLE 1-1 CCND MONITORS AND SUMMA CANISTER SAMPLING LOCATIONS

2.0 METHODS

2.1 Continuous Monitoring

The sensors used in the CCND network were manufactured by SensIT an Indiana-based company. The near-Federal Equivalent Method (FEM) AQM65 monitors used for quality assurance of the network were manufactured by Aeroqual, a New Zealand-based company. Each sensor is solar powered and transmits data to the data platform via Long Term Evolution (LTE) cell technology. The monitoring in the community is being performed using a variety of technologies, as described in Table 1-2.

TABLE 1-2 CCND MONITORING TECHNOLOGY POST SENSOR CHANGE

The SENSIT RAMP monitors the ambient air by allowing it to passively enter each sensor's exterior housing via small holes and pass over the surface of the sensor as described in Table 1- 2. The AQM65 monitors the ambient air via a pump that pulls the sample into the individual analyte-specific gas modules for analysis.

The Photoionization Detector (PID) sensors used to measure VOCs contain a lamp that produces photons that carry enough energy to break molecules into ions. The PID responds to molecules that have an ionization energy at or below the energy of the lamp; the PID used on this project employs a 10.6 electron-volt lamp. The produced ions then generate an electrical current that is measured as the output of the detector. PIDs are known to drift with ambient temperature and humidity variation. The PIDs used in this program mitigate the humidity issue by having a hydrophobic filter installed between the lamp and the ambient air. This deters water molecules from entering the ion-producing chamber and absorbing radiation. The PIDs are also heated slightly above ambient temperature to improve the stability of the detector.

Electrochemical sensors measure the concentration of a specific gas (SO₂, CO, NO₂, and H₂S) within an external circuit via oxidation or reduction reactions. These reactions generate the positive or negative current flow through the external circuit. An electrochemical sensor is made up of a working, counter, and reference electrode. All these components sit inside of a sensor housing along with a liquid electrolyte that is specific to the compound of interest. Temperature and relative humidity are known to affect the electrochemical sensors being used and could influence data quality. SensIT RAMP devices collect temperature and ambient relative humidity data along with an active sampling and heating mechanism to mitigate the impact of these interferences. SensIT RAMP non-zero readings that are below the instrument's detection limit may be artifacts of the manufacturer's algorithm. Extreme temperature and humidity conditions can cause the liquid electrolyte to dry up and cause erratic readings on the monitors.

Additionally, electrochemical sensors have known cross-sensitivity to other compounds. For example, ozone causes a response in the $NO₂$ sensor. This issue is mitigated by using an ozone filter on the face of the NO₂ sensor. Similarly, the SO₂ sensor can have a response caused by the presence of H₂S. Again, the SO_2 sensor has a built-in filter to mitigate the H₂S interference. The $SO₂$ sensor has additional interference from $NO₂$.

The sampled particles are measured by the physical principle of light scattering. Each single particle is illuminated by a defined laser light and each scattering signal is detected at an angle of 90° by a photo diode. In accordance with the Mie theory, each measured pulse height is directly proportional to the particle size whereas each pulse is classified in an electronic register of 32 different size channels.

The data collected is intended to be used for informational purposes only and cannot be used for official compliance determinations. The accuracy of sensors used in the program are not as high as certified ambient air monitoring equipment used by federal and local officials for NAAQS compliance monitoring. The sensors' detection limits and accuracy can be found in the QAPP online at [https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/.](https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/) State regulatory compliance data can be found on the CDPHE air quality website at<https://www.colorado.gov/airquality> .

Sensors like the SensIT RAMP are also known to produce data that is noisier (lower signal-tonoise ratio) than traditional regulatory reference method quality ambient air monitoring equipment. To mitigate this issue, the data were averaged at one or 24-hours to improve the signal-to-noise of the instrument readings.

All sampling and quality assurance procedures were performed by Montrose.

2.2 Assessment of Community Health Implications

Health scientists from CTEH, LLC (CTEH[®]) (a subsidiary company of Montrose) evaluated the air monitoring data collected by Montrose from January 1, 2024 through March 31, 2024. Results were compared to various standards, health-based reference levels, and previously published regional data to determine if the measured air quality may have the potential for adverse health effects within the surrounding communities.

The analytes CO, NO_2 , SO₂, and PM_{2.5} are all listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) as "criteria air pollutants". These analytes were identified in the U.S. federal Clean Air Act as airborne pollutants that, at certain levels, may adversely impact public health and welfare and for which NAAQS would be established and updated based on the periodically reviewed scientific data associating criteria pollutant levels and public health impacts. Unlike chemical-specific health reference values, the NAAQS provide air quality standards designed to protect public health at the regional level.

The determination that a criteria pollutant is at a level legally required to be mitigated comes from evaluation of one year (CO) to three years ($NO₂$ $NO₂$ $NO₂$, SO₂, and $PM_{2.5}$) of air monitoring data² collected by regulatory-grade instrumentation. If the maximum or average analyte levels in this report are higher than their respective NAAQS, it does not indicate a violation of the NAAQS or that adverse health effects are likely. Any measurement of a criteria air pollutant over its respective NAAQS reference concentration must be evaluated in the context of one to three years of data previously collected. For example, a 1-hour average value above the $NO₂$ standard concentration would not constitute an exceedance of the $NO₂$ NAAQS. To be a NAAQS exceedance, a measurement must be made by a regulatory grade instrument and compared to one-hour daily maximum concentration measurements, averaged over three years, to determine whether it is part of the 98th percentile or higher. However, the data reported herein may be used to determine trends in criteria pollutant levels in the CCND communities.

H2S and NO are not criteria air pollutants but were selected to be monitored because of the potential to produce reactive nitrogen compounds in the air (NO), or because of presence in some grades of crude oil and its refined products (H_2S) . Although NO does not have a health-based reference value, average and maximum NO levels for the Front Range region have been previously measured and reported by the CDPHE Air Pollution Control Division (APCD). The health reference values for H₂S were developed by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)^{[3](#page-10-1)}. The ATSDR acute health-based reference levels (one day to two weeks of continuous exposure) is a health reference value below which continuous exposure is likely to be without risk of developing adverse health effects, even in sensitive sub-populations. Maximum one-hour rolling average H2S levels were recorded in each CCND neighborhood and compared to an ATSDR acute-health-based reference level.

Finally, the USEPA has established values for use in emergency situations, termed Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs). Unlike health-based reference levels that can be thousands of times below exposure levels where adverse effects are observed, AEGL values are levels at which different acute adverse health effects may be anticipated to occur. According to USEPA,

² USEPA NAAQS Table, available online at https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naags-table

³ ATSDR MRL List available online a[t https://wwwn.cdc.gov/TSP/MRLS/mrlsListing.aspx](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/TSP/MRLS/mrlsListing.aspx)

"AEGL-1 [values] represent exposure levels that could produce mild and progressively increasing but transient and non-disabling odor, taste, and sensory irritation or certain asymptomatic, nonsensory effects. With increasing airborne concentration above each AEGL, there is a progressive increase in the likelihood of occurrence and the severity of effects described for each corresponding AEGL [i.e., AEGL-2 or AEGL-3]." The AEGL-1 60-minute value, if available for the applicable compound, was also used for comparison purposes because it is more precautionary (than AEGL-2 or AEGL-3) as the AEGL-1 level reflects potential health impacts that are reversible upon cessation of exposure. The AEGL-1 60-minute values for H_2S (510 ppb), NO_2 (500 ppb), and $SO₂$ (200 ppb) were also listed for comparison purposes. The USEPA did not derive an AEGL-1 value for CO, therefore an AEGL-2 (83 ppm) was selected.

2.3 Summary of Downtime or Equipment Malfunction

Data recovery is a percentage of the number of data points collected divided by the expected number of data points. For example, if a data point is expected every five minutes, 12 data points would be expected over a one-hour period. If only 11 data points were received, the data recovery for that hour would be 92%. The data recovery during the reporting period meets the QAPP targets and are presented in Table 1-3.

TABLE 1-3 CCND MONITORING DATA RECOVERY

Data recovery may be below 100% for several reasons, including instrument malfunction, instrument communication issues, monitor downtime when performing quality assurance procedures, etc. In alignment with the QAPP, data recovery does not include downtime when adverse atmospheric conditions such as extreme humidity, extreme temperature, and other conditions can affect a monitor's ability to provide reliable data.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Results Summary

The one-hour rolling average results for CO, $NO₂$, $PM_{2.5}$, $H₂$ S, $SO₂$, and VOCs during this reporting period can be found in Table 1-4, Figures 1-2 through 1-5B and Figure 7. The gaseous (CO, NO₂, $H₂S, SO₂$, and VOC) data is reported on a one-hour rolling average updated every five minutes. The PM_{2.5} data presented on the website is a one-hour block average to align with the other PM_{2.5} sensor-based monitoring programs around the local community; these readings are shown in Figure 1-6A. The 24-hour block average for $PM_{2.5}$ and rolling average for H₂S are also reported and can be found in Table 1-4 (PM_{2.5} and H₂S) and Figure 1-6B (PM_{2.5}). Values reported as zero do not necessarily mean that the analyte is not present, but instead indicates that the analyte's concentration, if present, is below the detectable level of the instrument.

This evaluation includes screening values from the USEPA NAAQS, USEPA AEGL, and ATSDR Minimal Risk Level (MRL). The Clean Air Act requires USEPA to set NAAQS for criteria air pollutants. AEGLs are used by emergency planners and responders worldwide as guidance for emergency response situations. Health reference levels, such as MRLs provided by the ATSDR, are intended to serve as a screening tool to help public health professionals determine where further evaluation may be needed. As explained above in Section 2.2, if the maximum or average analyte levels in this report are higher than their respective NAAQS reference level, it does not indicate an exceedance of the NAAQS or that adverse health effects are likely. Table 1-4 and Figures 1-3 to 1-7 indicate readings for the monitoring period relative to the NAAQS and MRLs (if applicable).

TABLE

 \overline{a} 4 The " $<$ " symbol indicates that the recorded concentration was less than the instrument's detection limit

 5 An acute exposure is defined by ATSDR as 1-14 days

3.2 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Figure 1-2 shows the one-hour rolling averages of CO from January 1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The USEPA NAAQS for CO is 35 ppm as a one-hour average not to be exceeded more than once per year. Figure 1-2 shows that all the measured one-hour average CO values in all CCND neighborhoods were more than 7-times lower (maximum 1-hour average: 4.51 ppm) than the CO NAAQS reference level. Further, the maximum one-hour measured CO values in the CCND neighborhoods were more than 18-times lower than the one-hour USEPA AEGL-2 of 83 ppm.

[6](#page-15-0)[7](#page-15-1) 3.3 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)

Figure 1-3 shows the one-hour rolling averages of $NO₂$ from January1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The USEPA NAAQS for NO₂ is 100 ppb as the 98th percentile of one-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over three years. Figure 1-3 shows that all measured 1-hour average NO2 values in all CCND neighborhoods (maximum 1-hour average: 63.22 ppb) were at least 30% lower than the $NO₂$ NAAQS concentration. Thus, $NO₂$ levels such as those measured in the CCND neighborhoods would not contribute to an annual exceedance of the NAAQS. Further, the maximum measured one-hour average $NO₂$ concentration across all the CCND neighborhoods was at least seven times lower than the one-hour USEPA AEGL-1 for $NO₂$ of 500 ppb.

3.4 Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)

Figure 1-4 shows the one-hour rolling averages of $SO₂$ from January1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The USEPA NAAQS for SO_2 is 75 ppb as 99th percentile of one-hour daily maximum concentrations, averaged over three years. Figure 1-4 shows a maximum one-hour average $SO₂$ value of 36.75 ppb, which is at least 45% lower than the NAAQS concentration for SO_2 . There were 7 readings greater than 75 ppb throughout the entire CCND sensor network. The maximum one-hour average measured $SO₂$ concentration was at least five times lower than the one-hour USEPA AEGL-1 for $SO₂$ at 200 ppb.

3.5 Hydrogen Sulfide (H2S)

Figures 1-5A and 1-5B show the one-hour and 24-hour rolling averages of H_2S , respectively, from January 1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The maximum 24-hour average was less than the instrument detection limit of 30 ppb from all CCND neighborhoods and is below the ATSDR acuteduration MRL of 70 ppb, thus, it is unlikely that H_2S levels measured in the CCND neighborhoods would result in an increased risk of adverse acute health effects. Further, the maximum measured one-hour average H2S values (maximum 1-hour average: 46.53 ppb) in the CCND neighborhoods are eleven-times lower than the one-hour USEPA AEGL-1 for $H₂S$ of 510 ppb.

3.6 Particulate Matter (PM2.5)

 \overline{a}

Figures 1-6A and 1-6B show the one-hour and 24-hour block averages of $PM_{2.5}$, respectively, from January 1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The USEPA NAAQS for PM_{2.5} is 35 μ g/m³ as 98th

 8 The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for carbon monoxide is 0.05 ppm.

 8 The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for carbon monoxide is 0.05 ppm.

percentile of 24-hour daily (block) average concentrations, averaged over 3 years. The measured 24-hour averages were below the NAAQS concentration at all CCND sensor locations.

3.7 Total Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Figure 1-7 shows the one-hour rolling averages of total VOCs from January 1, 2024, through March 31, 2024. The measured maximum one-hour average across this reporting period was 0.67 ppm. There are no NAAQS or health-based reference values for total VOCs because this measurement may be made of one to thousands of different chemical compounds having various thresholds of toxic effects.

VOC sensor-triggered samples were collected automatically when instantaneous total VOCs were detected at an airborne concentration of 1 ppm or higher for one minute or longer. During the first quarter of 2024, total VOC levels went above 1 ppm four times, which triggered the capture of four air samples. The results of the sensor-triggered events and health risk evaluations are presented in separate reports found at [ccnd-air.com/Documents.](https://www.ccnd-air.com/Documents/)

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Continuous air monitoring sensors were operating at ten locations across the CCND neighborhoods during the monitoring period. The air monitoring data from January-March 2024 was compared to air quality standards, health-based reference values, and previously published regional data to determine if the measured air quality may have the potential for adverse effects on community health.

The results of this assessment indicate the following:

- The monitored analyte levels at all locations were below their respective acute healthbased reference levels, if available, or within the range of previously published regional data provided by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Air Pollution Control Division (APCD).
	- \circ It should be noted that the NAAQS comparisons are used in the CCND Air Monitoring program for reference use only and may not be used to determine air quality compliance. This is because NAAQS compliance must be determined through the use of regulatory certified instrumentation and required calculation methodology further discussed in section 2 of this report.

FIGURE 1-2 CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) DATA[8](#page-17-2)

(ONE-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

 8 The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for carbon monoxide is 0.05 ppm.

FIGURE 1-3 CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING NITROGEN DIOXIDE (NO2) DATA[9](#page-18-2)

(ONE-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

 9 The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for nitrogen dioxide is 20 ppb..

FIGURE 1-4 CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING SULFUR DIOXIDE (SO2) DATA[10](#page-19-2)

(ONE-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

 10 The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for sulfur dioxide is 50 ppb.

FIGURE 1-5A CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING HYDROGEN SULFIDE (H2S) DATA[11](#page-20-2)

(ONE-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

¹¹ The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for hydrogen sulfide is10 ppb.

FIGURE 1-5B CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING HYDROGEN SULFIDE (H2S) DATA[12](#page-21-2)

(24-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

¹² The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for hydrogen sulfide is 10 ppb.

FIGURE 1-6A CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING PM2.5 DATA[13](#page-22-2)

(ONE-HOUR BLOCK AVERAGES)

¹³ The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for PM_{2.5} is 1 μ g/m³.

FIGURE 1-6B CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING PM2.5 DATA[14](#page-23-2)

(24-HOUR BLOCK AVERAGES)

¹⁴ The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for PM_{2.5} is 1 μ g/m³.

FIGURE 1-7 CCND COMMUNITY MONITORING VOC DATA[15](#page-24-2)

(ONE-HOUR ROLLING AVERAGES)

¹⁵ The SensIT RAMP's detection limit for VOC is 0.1 ppm.

5.0 PROGRAM CHANGES

Prepared by:

 \triangle d 54

Michael H. Lungshin

David Smith Client Project Manager – Real Time Air Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC

Michael Lumpkin, PhD, DABT Senior Toxicologist CTEH®, LLC

APPENDIX A CALIBRATION AND QA/QC DATA

APPENDIX B FIELD DATA SHEETS

APPENDIX C CALIBRATION GAS CERTIFICATION SHEETS

GASCO AFFILIATES, LLC.

320 Scarlet Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677
(800) 910-0051 fax: (866) 755-8920 www.gascogas.com

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Date: January 24, 2023 Order Number: 22070361 Lot Number: 304-402645914-1 Customer: Cal Gas Direct Inc.

Use Before: 01/24/2027

Cylinder Size: 2.0 Cu. Ft. Contents: 58 Liter

 ~ 10

Valve: 5/8" - 18 UNF Pressure: 500 psig

Product composition verified by direct comparison to calibration standards traceable to N.I.S.T. weights and/ or N.I.S.T. Gas Mixture reference materials.

Kum Velez

GASCO AFFILIATES, LLC.

320 Scarlet Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677 (800) 910-0051 fax: (866) 755-8920 www.gascogas.com

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Date: January 24, 2023 **Order Number: 22070361** Lot Number: 304-402645915-1 **Customer: Cal Gas Direct Inc.**

Use Before: 01/24/2027

Cylinder Size: 2.0 Cu. Ft. Contents: 58 Liter

Valve: 5/8" -18UNF Pressure: 500 psig

Product composition verified by direct comparison to calibration standards traceable to N.I.S.T. weights and/ or N.I.S.T. Gas Mixture reference materials.

 \mathcal{C}^*

GASCO AFFILIATES, LLC.

320 Scarlet Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677 (800) 910-0051 fax: (866) 755-8920 www.gascogas.com

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Date: January 24, 2023 Order Number: 22070361 Lot Number: 304-402645912-1

Customer: Cal Gas Direct Inc.

Use Before: 01/24/2025

Cylinder Size: 2.0 Cu. Ft. Contents: 58 Liter

Valve: 5/8" -18UNF Pressure: 500 psig

Product composition verified by direct comparison to calibration standards traceable to N.I.S.T. weights and/ or N.I.S.T. Gas Mixture reference materials.

320 Scarlet Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677 (800) 910-0051 fax: (866) 755-8920 www.gascogas.com

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Date: January 24, 2023 **Order Number: 22070361** Lot Number: 304-402650509-1 **Customer: Cal Gas Direct Inc.**

Use Before: 01/24/2025

Cylinder Size: 2.0 Cu. Ft. Contents: 58 Liter

Valve: 5/8" -18UNF Pressure: 500 psig

Product composition verified by direct comparison to calibration standards traceable to N.I.S.T. weights and/ or N.I.S.T. Gas Mixture reference materials.

Glenn Velez

THIS IS THE LAST PAGE OF THIS DOCUMENT